

SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
A Limited Liability Partnership
Including Professional Corporations
TRACEY A. KENNEDY, Cal Bar No. 150782
ROBERT E. MUSSIG, Cal. Bar No. 240369
H. SARAH FAN, Cal. Bar No. 328282
333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1422
Telephone: 213.620.1780
Facsimile: 213.620.1398
E-mail: tkennedy@sheppardmullin.com
rmussig@sheppardmullin.com
sfan@sheppardmullin.com

Attorneys for Defendant.
CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.,
a Pennsylvania corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION

MARK SNOOKAL, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHEVRON USA, INC., a California Corporation,
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-6302-HDV-AJR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT CHEVRON U.S.A., INC.’S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR,
IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

*[Filed concurrently with Joint Brief re Defendant’s
Motion for Summary Judgment; Defendant’s
Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Genuine
Disputes; Joint Appendix of Declarations and
Written Evidence; [Proposed] Judgment granting
Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment]*

Hearing: May 8, 2025
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Place: Courtroom 5B – 5th Floor
Judge: Hon. Hernán D. Vera

Action Filed: August 3, 2023
Trial Date: August 19, 2025

1 On May 8, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5B of the above-entitled Court,
2 located at 350 W. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Defendant Chevron U.S.A.. Inc.
3 (“Defendant”), Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary
4 Judgment (“Motion”) came on regularly for hearing. All Parties were represented by their
5 respective counsel.

6 Defendant moved for entry of summary judgment, or partial summary judgment
7 pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the grounds that there are
8 no genuine triable issues of material fact related to Plaintiff Mark Snookal’s claims for
9 disability discrimination, failure to accommodate, and wrongful constructive termination
10 and his claim for punitive damages.

11 After consideration of the papers and oral argument, the Court finds **GOOD CAUSE**
12 **AND GRANTS SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT.** The Court finds
13 that there is no genuine issue of material fact as follows:

14 There is no genuine issue of material fact as to the claim for disability
15 discrimination.

16 There is no genuine issue of material fact as to the claim for failure to
17 accommodate a disability

18 There is no genuine issue of material fact as to the claim for wrongful constructive
19 termination.

20 There is no genuine issue of material fact as to Plaintiff’s claim for punitive
21 damages.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 DATED: _____, 2025

26 HON. HERNAN D. VERA
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
28